JOURNAL OF **CHEMICAL & ENGINEERING** DATA

Densities and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Propanoic Acid with N,N-Dimethylaniline and N,N-Diethylaniline at T = (303.15, 313.15, 3and 323.15) K

M. Kondaiah,[†] D. Sravana Kumar,[‡] K. Sreekanth,[†] and D. Krishna Rao^{*,†}

[†]Department of Physics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar 522 510 A.P., India [‡]SVLNS Govt. Degree College, Bheemunipatnam, A.P., India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Densities and viscosities of binary mixtures of propanoic acid with N,N-dimethylaniline and N,N-diethylaniline including those of pure liquids have been measured at (303.15, 313.15, and 323.15) K over the entire composition range. From these experimental values, deviations in viscosity and excess molar volumes have been computed and fitted to Redlich-Kister type polynomial. The corresponding standard deviations have been calculated. The experimental data of viscosity have been used to test the applicability of the empirical relation of Grunberg-Nissan for all of the systems studied. Molecular interactions in the liquid mixtures have been investigated in light of the variation of deviation and excess values in the evaluated properties.



INTRODUCTION

The volumetric and viscometric study of liquid mixtures enables the determination of some useful thermodynamic and other properties that are highly sensitive to molecular interactions.¹⁻⁶ Propanoic acid is a naturally occurring carboxylic acid, and it is used as a food preservative (calcium and sodium propionate). It is also useful as an intermediate in the production of other chemicals, especially polymers, plastics, and cosmetics. Aromatic anilines N,N-dimethylaniline and N,N-diethylaniline are used as intermediates to manufacture dyes and vanillin and as a stabilizer for calorimetric peroxidase determination.

Thermodynamic properties of liquid acid-base mixtures were studied by Rattan et al.,⁷ Solimo et al.,⁸ and Garcia et al.⁹ with propanoic acid as one component in binary mixtures. Katz et al.¹⁰ and Rama Murthy et al.^{11–13} studied the volumetric and viscometric properties in binary liquid mixtures of aniline and substituted anilines such as N-methylaniline, N,N-dimethylaniline, N-ethylaniline, and N,N-diethylaniline as one component and toluene, n-butanol, m-cresol, or o-cresol as the other component. Recently Ghasemi et al.¹⁴ reported the densities and viscosities for the binary and ternary mixtures of 1,4-dioxane + 1-hexanol + N,N-dimethylaniline. In view of the industrial importance of binary liquid acid-base mixtures are studied such as propanoic acid + N,N-dimethylaniline or N,N-diethylaniline in which propanoic acid is taken as one component and N,N-dimethylaniline or N,N-diethylaniline as the other component. In the present paper, experimentally measured densities, ρ , and viscosities, η , at different temperatures have been reported. From the experimental results various properties have been calculated and presented: deviation in viscosity, $\Delta \eta$, and excess molar volume, $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

High purity and analytical reagent (AR) grade compounds of propanoic acid (w = 0.99), N,N-dimethylaniline (w = 0.99), and *N*,*N*-diethylaniline (w = 0.99) obtained from LOBA Chemicals, India are used in the present study. All of the chemicals are further purified by standard methods,^{15,16} like distillation and fractional distillation under reduced pressure, and only the middle fractions were collected. Finally, after the purification method the purity of the propanoic acid, N,N-dimethylaniline, and N,N-diethylaniline is found to be w = 0.997, w = 0.993, and w = 0.993, respectively. The purity analysis of chemicals is presented in Table 1. Before use, the chemicals were stored

Table 1. Purity Analysis

chemical name	source	initial mole fraction purity	purification method	final mole fraction purity
propanoic acid	Loba	0.99	distillation	0.997
N,N-dimethylaniline	Loba	0.99	fractional distillation under reduced pressure	0.993
N,N-diethylaniline	Loba	0.99	fractional distillation under reduced pressure	0.993

over 0.4 nm molecular sieves approximately for 72 h to remove water content and degassed. The densities and viscosities measured at (303.15 and 313.15) K for the pure liquids used in this

Received: August 9, 2011 Accepted: December 8, 2011 Published: December 20, 2011

Table 2. Comparison of Densities ($\rho/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) and Viscosities ($10^3\eta/\text{N}\cdot\text{s}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$) of Pure Liquids with Literature Data at (303.15 and 313.15) K

		$ ho/{ m kg}{\cdot}{ m m}^{-3}$		$10^3 \eta / \mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}$	
component	T/K	present work	lit.	present work	lit.
propanoic acid	303.15	982.1	982.0 ⁷	0.950	0.9498 ⁷
	313.15	973.4	971.0 ⁷	0.848	0.8453 ⁷
N,N-dimethylaniline	303.15	948.0	948.0 ¹²	1.174	1.173^{10}
	313.15	938.5	939.75 ¹⁰	0.982	0.985 ¹⁰
N,N-diethylaniline	303.15	926.0	925.9 ¹²	1.703	1.709^{12}
	313.15	917.7	918.0 ¹²	1.402	1.402 ¹²

investigation are compiled in Table 2 together with the literature data 7,10,12 available. These results are in good agreement with the reported data.

Binary mixtures are prepared by mass in airtight bottles. The mass measurements are performed on a Mettler Toledo (Switzerland make) ABB5- S/FACT digital balance with an accuracy of \pm 0.01 mg. The uncertainty in the mole fraction is 10⁻⁴. Densities of pure liquids and their mixtures has been determined by using a 5 cm³ two stem double-walled Parker & Parker type pycnometer.¹⁷ This pycnometer is calibrated with triply distilled water. The pycnometer filled with air bubble free experimental liquids was kept in a transparent walled constant temperature bath for (20 to 30) min to attain thermal equilibrium. The positions of the liquid levels in the two arms are recorded with the help of traveling microscope. At least three to four measurements are performed, from which an average value of density of the experimental liquid is determined.

An Ostwald viscometer has been used to determine the viscosity of the liquid mixture, which is calibrated as described by Naidu and Prasad¹⁸ using triply distilled water. The viscometer was also calibrated using benzene, CCl_4 liquids, and so forth at working temperatures. The length of one of the capillary tubes of viscometers used in the present study is 8 cm, and its diameter is 0.56 mm. In this method water is taken into the viscometer without air bubbles and is immersed into the constant temperature bath for a period of 30 min so that the water inside the viscometer attains the temperature of the bath. The time of flow of given volume of water is measured using an electronic digital stopwatch with an accuracy of \pm 0.01 s. This procedure is repeated three times, and the average flow of time (t_0) is noted. The same procedure is repeated for the experimental mixture, and the average time of flow (t) is determined.

The coefficient of viscosity of the experimental mixture has been calculated using

$$\eta/\eta_0 = (\rho t)/(\rho_0 t_0) \tag{1}$$

where ρ , $\rho_{0;}$ *t*, $t_{0;}$ and η , η_{0} refer to the density, flow of time, and viscosity of the liquid mixture and water, respectively. In the present study, the constant temperature water bath (digital electronic) supplied by Concord Instruments Co. Ltd., Chennai (RAAGA type) has been used. The instrument can maintain an accuracy of temperature to \pm 0.01 K as per its specifications. The reproducibility in the measured parameters of density and viscosity are 3 in 10⁵ parts and \pm 0.2 %, respectively. The uncertainties determined pertinent to density and viscosity measurements of the binary mixtures are given in Table 3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental values of density and viscosity have been used to calculate the deviation/excess properties with the following equations.

$$V_{\rm m} = \frac{x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2}{\rho}$$
(2)

$$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E} = V_{\rm m} - (x_1 V_1^* + x_2 V_2^*) \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta \eta = \eta - (x_1 \eta_1^* + x_2 \eta_2^*)$$
⁽⁴⁾

where ρ , η , and $V_{\rm m}$ are the density, viscosity, and molar volume of the mixture. M_1 , M_2 , V_1^* , V_2^* , η_1^* , and η_2^* are the molar masses, molar volumes, and viscosities of pure components 1 (propanoic acid) and 2 (*N*,*N*-dimethylaniline or *N*,*N*-diethylaniline), respectively, and x_i represents the mole fraction of the component *i* in the mixture. The experimental values of densities, viscosities, and calculated values of molar volumes, deviation in viscosity, and excess molar volumes are reported in Table 3. It has been observed that the density varies almost linearly with the concentration of propanoic acid as well as with temperature, but the viscosity changes nonlinearly showing maxima in all of the systems studied at all temperatures investigated. This type of behavior could be attributed to complex formation.

The values of deviation in viscosity and excess molar volume have been fitted to Redlich–Kister type polynomial¹⁹ equation,

$$Y^{\rm E} = x_1 x_2 \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i (x_2 - x_1)^i$$
(5)

where $Y^{\rm E} = \Delta \eta$, $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$; the subscription *i* in the eq 5 takes values from 0 to 4. A_i are the adjustable parameters of the function and are determined using the least-squares method. The corresponding standard deviations $\sigma(Y^{\rm E})$ have been computed using the relation.

$$\sigma(Y^{\rm E}) = \left[\frac{\Sigma(Y^{\rm E}_{\exp} - Y^{\rm E}_{\rm cal})^2}{(m-n)}\right]^{1/2} \tag{6}$$

where *m* is the total number of experimental points and *n* is the number of coefficients in eq 5. The coefficients A_i in eq 5 and the standard deviations of all the binary mixtures have been presented in Table 4.

The variation of deviation in viscosity with the mole fraction of propanoic acid is shown in Figure 1. Generally, negative values of $\Delta \eta$ indicate the presence of dispersion forces or mutual loss of specific interactions in like molecules operating in the systems arising due to weak intermolecular interactions, and positive values of deviation in viscosity indicate strong specific interactions.^{20,21} The sign and magnitude of $\Delta \eta$ depend on the combined effect of factors such as molecular size, shape, and intermolecular forces. In general ethyl groups have more +I effect than methyl groups. In DEA the ethyl groups are more electron-releasing than methyl groups of DMA on the nitrogen atom. So, the nitrogen in DEA possesses more electron density than in DMA; therefore, DEA is more favored to accept the proton of the PA. Hence it is an appropriate reason to support the observed more positive $\Delta \eta$ values in the propanoic acid + N,N-diethylaniline system.

Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data

Table 3. Experimental Values of Densities, ρ , Viscosities, η , Molar Volumes, $V_{\rm m}$, Deviation in Viscosities, $\Delta \eta$, and Excess Molar Volumes, $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$, for All of the Systems at (303.15, 313.15, and 323.15) K

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ρ	$10^3 \eta$	$10^6 V_{\rm m}$	$10^3 \Delta \eta$	$10^6 V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$		ρ	$10^3 \eta$	$10^6 V_{\rm m}$	$10^3 \Delta \eta$	$10^6 V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$
NAD Directly interference interfe	mole fraction of propanoic acid (x_1)	kg⋅m ⁻³	$N \cdot s \cdot m^{-2}$	m ³ ·mol ⁻¹	$N \cdot s \cdot m^{-2}$	m ³ ·mol ^{−1}	mole fraction of propanoic acid (x_1)	kg·m ^{−3}	$N \cdot s \cdot m^{-2}$	m ³ ·mol ⁻¹	$N \cdot s \cdot m^{-2}$	m ³ ·mol ⁻¹
T/K = 30.15 T/K = 30.15 0.0000 93.6 1.174 1.274 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000 0.000	N,N-Dimethylaniline + Propanoic Acid					N	N-Diethyla	niline + Pr	opanoic Aci	d		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Т	V/K = 303.1	15				Т	V/K = 303.1	15		
	0.0000	948.0	1.174	127.84	0.000	0.00	0.0000	926.0	1.703	161.17	0.000	0.00
0.2054 99.8 1.329 116.18 0.201 -0.89 0.2096 94.21 2.040 14.48 0.495 -1.51 0.3071 974.0 1.446 105.14 0.36 -1.25 0.3142 952.1 2.040 132.37 1.521 -3.10 0.5107 974.0 1.444 99.72 0.4134 -1.35 0.0177 79.63 122.37 1.521 -3.16 0.6178 978.6 1.545 9.410 0.510 -1.31 0.0777 996.3 3.568 64.42 2.78 -4.07 0.8097 986.4 1.225 7.94 0.253 -0.80 0.9156 99.09 1.532 81.16 0.10 -1.51 0.0000 982.1 0.950 7.54.3 0.000 0.00 1.602 0.519 -1.51 0.0000 984.5 0.982 129.13 0.000 0.00 0.000 9.26 0.3142 1.620 0.000 0.00 0.107 Ferretantretant	0.1020	954.4	1.270	121.94	0.119	-0.55	0.1047	933.4	1.801	151.46	0.177	-0.73
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0.2054	959.8	1.329	116.18	0.201	-0.89	0.2096	942.1	2.040	141.68	0.495	-1.51
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.3071	964.5	1.386	110.65	0.281	-1.10	0.3142	952.1	2.404	131.94	0.938	-2.29
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.4092	969.3	1.446	105.14	0.364	-1.25	0.4164	963.8	2.910	122.37	1.521	-3.10
0.6178 97.86 1.534 94.10 0.510 -1.34 0.6007 99.12 4.040 104.55 2.796 -4.34 0.7083 982.2 1.534 89.41 0.519 -1.16 0.8123 995.0 3.260 88.63 2.169 -2.89 0.8097 98.64 1.225 79.94 0.233 -0.80 0.9156 99.09 1.532 81.16 0.509 7.543 0.000 0.000 uncertainty 1.3 0.155 Expanded Uncertainty Uncert of Confidence = 0.95) Expanded Uncertainty 1.30 0.000 9.076 7.543 0.000 0.00 0.0000 93.85 0.982 1.093 0.000 0.000 9.0177 1.420 162.62 0.000 0.00 0.1020 94.35 1.019 12.32 0.050 -0.40 0.0047 92.46 1.430 0.357 -2.11 0.4064 94.91 1.058 1.149 0.103 -0.75 0.2096 93.28 1.310	0.5107	974.0	1.494	99.72	0.434	-1.35	0.5177	977.9	3.663	112.82	2.350	-3.96
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.6178	978.6	1.545	94.10	0.510	-1.36	0.6097	989.1	4.040	104.55	2.796	-4.34
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.7083	982.2	1.534	89.41	0.519	-1.31	0.7077	996.2	3.968	96.42	2.798	-4.07
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.8023	985.3	1.457	84.63	0.463	-1.16	0.8123	995.0	3.260	88.63	2.169	-2.89
	0.8987	986.4	1.225	79.94	0.253	-0.80	0.9156	990.9	1.532	81.16	0.519	-1.51
uncertainty1.00.08uncertainty1.30.15UL00.076Confidence = 0.95)L20.076L20.076L20.076L20.076L20.076L20.076L20.076L20.0000.0000.00017.71.40216.2620.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0102943.71.0191.23.20.000-0.400.1047924.61.4500.105-0.47-0.750.2096932.81.590143.100.304-1.390.3071954.21.03311.840.152-1.000.3142942.21.765133.330.537-2.110.4072952.21.12410.0760.235-1.290.5177967.32.527114.061.412-3.770.6178968.61.15195.080.252-1.300.6097977.52.808105.791.816-4.080.7083972.21.1990.330.322-1.240.7077984.02.7380.616-1.370.6178968.61.519.0380.252-1.300.6097980.11.24782.050.33-1.351.0000973.40.8487.6100.0000.0001.0000973.40.8487.100.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000	1.0000	982.1	0.950	75.43	0.000	0.00	1.0000	982.1	0.950	75.43	0.000	0.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	uncertainty	1.0	0.038				uncertainty	1.3	0.155			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Expanded	Uncertaint	y (Level o	f Confidence	e = 0.95)		Expanded	Uncertaint	ty (Level o	f Confidence	e = 0.95)	
T/K = 313.15 T/K = 313.15 0.0000 938.5 0.982 129.13 0.000 917.7 1.402 1.62.62 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 917.7 1.402 1.62.62 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0104 913.15 0.2054 949.1 1.058 1.010 0.0104 913.15 0.2054 949.1 1.058 1.010 0.0104 0.2096 913.15 0.4004 1.33.15 0.103 0.2016 0.103 0.2016 0.013 0.2016 0.101 0.104 0.104 0.104 0.104 0.104 0.104 0.104 0.104 <td></td> <td>2.0</td> <td>0.076</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.6</td> <td>0.310</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2.0	0.076					2.6	0.310			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Т	V/K = 313.1	15				Т	V/K = 313.1	15		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0000	938.5	0.982	129.13	0.000	0.00	0.0000	917.7	1.402	162.62	0.000	0.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.1020	943.7	1.019	123.32	0.050	-0.40	0.1047	924.6	1.450	152.90	0.106	-0.67
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.2054	949.1	1.058	117.49	0.103	-0.75	0.2096	932.8	1.590	143.10	0.304	-1.39
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.3071	954.2	1.093	111.84	0.152	-1.00	0.3142	942.2	1.765	133.33	0.537	-2.11
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.4092	959.2	1.124	106.24	0.197	-1.19	0.4164	953.7	2.118	123.67	0.947	-2.93
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.5107	964.0	1.149	100.76	0.235	-1.29	0.5177	967.3	2.527	114.06	1.412	-3.77
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.6178	968.6	1.151	95.08	0.252	-1.30	0.6097	977.5	2.880	105.79	1.816	-4.08
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.7083	972.2	1.119	90.33	0.232	-1.24	0.7077	984.0	2.738	97.61	1.728	-3.78
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.8023	975.4	1.060	85.50	0.186	-1.09	0.8123	982.7	2.086	89.74	1.134	-2.60
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.8987	975.7	0.965	80.81	0.104	-0.66	0.9156	980.1	1.247	82.05	0.353	-1.35
uncertainty1.20.020uncertainty1.50.098Expanded Uncertainty(Level of Confidence = 0.95) 2.4 0.040 3.0 0.196 T/K = 323.150.0000930.70.826130.210.0000.000.0000912.81.049163.500.0000.000.1020934.80.843124.500.029 -0.29 0.1047919.51.069153.750.055 -0.70 0.2054939.70.869118.670.068 -0.63 0.2096926.41.173144.080.196 -1.29 0.3071944.50.895112.990.106 -0.90 0.3142934.91.315134.370.374 -1.97 0.4092949.10.920107.380.143 -1.09 0.4164944.51.555124.900.649 -2.61 0.5107953.50.940101.870.175 -1.20 0.5177955.31.865115.490.994 -3.25 0.6178958.00.94496.130.192 -1.24 0.6097965.32.100107.131.260 -3.67 0.7083963.50.86486.550.134 -1.01 0.8123974.71.51090.480.740 -2.80 0.8987963.50.77881.840.060 -0.60 0.9156974.20.97282.550.237 -1.80 1.0000961.40.70677.050.0000.001.0000	1.0000	973.4	0.848	76.10	0.000	0.00	1.0000	973.4	0.848	76.10	0.000	0.00
Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95)Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95)2.40.0403.00.196 $T/K = 323.15$ $T/K = 323.15$ $T/K = 323.15$ $T/K = 323.15$ 0.0000 930.70.826130.210.0000.0000.0000912.81.049163.500.0000.0000.1020934.80.843124.500.029-0.290.1047919.51.069153.750.055-0.700.2054939.70.869118.670.068-0.630.2096926.41.173144.080.196-1.290.3071944.50.895112.990.106-0.900.3142934.91.315134.370.374-1.970.4092949.10.920107.380.143-1.090.4164944.51.555124.900.649-2.610.5107953.50.940101.870.175-1.200.5177955.31.865115.490.994-3.250.6178958.00.94496.130.192-1.240.6097965.32.100107.131.260-3.670.7083961.10.91291.370.171-1.190.7077971.31.94998.891.143-3.430.8023963.50.86486.550.134-1.010.8123974.71.51090.480.740-2.800.898	uncertainty	1.2	0.020				uncertainty	1.5	0.098			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Expanded	Uncertaint	y (Level o	f Confidence	e = 0.95)		Expanded	Uncertaint	ty (Level o	f Confidence	e = 0.95)	
T/K = 323.15T/K = 323.150.0000930.70.826130.210.0000.000.000912.81.049163.500.0000.000.1020934.80.843124.500.029-0.290.1047919.51.069153.750.055-0.700.2054939.70.869118.670.068-0.630.2096926.41.173144.080.196-1.290.3071944.50.895112.990.106-0.900.3142934.91.315134.370.374-1.970.4092949.10.920107.380.143-1.090.4164944.51.555124.900.649-2.610.5107953.50.940101.870.175-1.200.5177955.31.865115.490.994-3.250.6178958.00.94496.130.192-1.240.6097965.32.100107.131.260-3.670.7083961.10.91291.370.171-1.190.7077971.31.94998.891.143-3.430.8023963.50.86486.550.134-1.010.8123974.71.51090.480.740-2.800.8987963.50.77881.840.060-0.600.9156974.20.97282.550.237-1.801.0000961.40.70677.050.0000.00uncertainty1.20.065Expanded Uncertainty (Le		2.4	0.040					3.0	0.196			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Т	V/K = 323.1	15				Т	V/K = 323.1	15		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0000	930.7	0.826	130.21	0.000	0.00	0.0000	912.8	1.049	163.50	0.000	0.00
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.1020	934.8	0.843	124.50	0.029	-0.29	0.1047	919.5	1.069	153.75	0.055	-0.70
0.3071 944.5 0.895 112.99 0.106 -0.90 0.3142 934.9 1.315 134.37 0.374 -1.97 0.4092 949.1 0.920 107.38 0.143 -1.09 0.4164 944.5 1.555 124.90 0.649 -2.61 0.5107 953.5 0.940 101.87 0.175 -1.20 0.5177 955.3 1.865 115.49 0.994 -3.25 0.6178 958.0 0.944 96.13 0.192 -1.24 0.6097 965.3 2.100 107.13 1.260 -3.67 0.7083 961.1 0.912 91.37 0.171 -1.19 0.7077 971.3 1.949 98.89 1.143 -3.43 0.8023 963.5 0.864 86.55 0.134 -1.01 0.8123 974.7 1.510 90.48 0.740 -2.80 0.8987 963.5 0.778 81.84 0.060 -0.60 0.9156 974.2 0.972 82.55 0.237 -1.80 1.0000 961.4 0.706 77.05 0.000 0.00 1.0000 961.4 0.706 77.05 0.000 0.00 uncertainty 1.0 0.015 uncertainty 1.2 0.065 $Expanded$ Uncertainty $(Level of Confidence = 0.95)$ 2.0 0.030 0.030 2.4 0.130 0.130	0.2054	939.7	0.869	118.67	0.068	-0.63	0.2096	926.4	1.173	144.08	0.196	-1.29
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.3071	944.5	0.895	112.99	0.106	-0.90	0.3142	934.9	1.315	134.37	0.374	-1.97
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.4092	949.1	0.920	107.38	0.143	-1.09	0.4164	944.5	1.555	124.90	0.649	-2.61
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.5107	953.5	0.940	101.87	0.175	-1.20	0.5177	955.3	1.865	115.49	0.994	-3.25
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6178	958.0	0.944	96.13	0.192	-1.24	0.6097	965.3	2.100	107.13	1.260	-3.67
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7083	961.1	0.912	91.37	0.171	-1.19	0.7077	971.3	1.949	98.89	1.143	-3.43
0.8987 963.5 0.778 81.84 0.060 -0.60 0.9156 974.2 0.972 82.55 0.237 -1.80 1.0000 961.4 0.706 77.05 0.000 0.00 1.0000 961.4 0.706 77.05 0.000 0.00 uncertainty 1.0 0.015 uncertainty 1.2 0.065 Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95) Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95) 2.4 0.130	0.8023	963.5	0.864	86.55	0.134	-1.01	0.8123	974.7	1.510	90.48	0.740	-2.80
1.0000 961.4 0.706 77.05 0.000 0.00 1.0000 961.4 0.706 77.05 0.000 0.00 uncertainty 1.0 0.015 uncertainty 1.2 0.065 Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95) Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95) 2.4 0.130	0.8987	963.5	0.778	81.84	0.060	-0.60	0.9156	974.2	0.972	82.55	0.237	-1.80
uncertainty1.00.015uncertainty1.20.065Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95)Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95)2.40.130	1.0000	961.4	0.706	77.05	0.000	0.00	1.0000	961.4	0.706	77.05	0.000	0.00
Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95) 2.0 0.030 Expanded Uncertainty (Level of Confidence = 0.95) 2.4 0.130	uncertainty	1.0	0.015				uncertainty	1.2	0.065			
2.0 0.030 2.4 0.130	Expanded	Uncertaint	y (Level o	f Confidence	e = 0.95)		Expanded	Uncertaint	ty (Level o	f Confidence	e = 0.95)	
		2.0	0.030					2.4	0.130			

The variations of excess molar volume with the mole fraction of propanoic acid at all temperatures for the binary systems are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The deviation of a physical and chemical property of the liquid mixture from the ideal behavior is a measure of the interaction between molecules of the components of liquid mixtures, and such a type of deviation is generally attributed to dipole–dipole interactions and hydrogen bond between unlike molecules,²² respectively. The factors that are mainly responsible for the expansion of volume, that is, positive values of $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ are: (i) breaking one or both of the

components in a solution, that is, loss of dipolar association between the molecules (dispersion forces); (ii) the geometry of molecular structures which does not favor the fitting of molecules of one component into the voids created by the molecules of other component; (iii) steric hindrance of the molecules, where the negative values of V_m^E are due to strong specific interactions such as (iv) association of molecules through the formation of hydrogen bonds, association due to dipole–dipole interactions; (v) accommodation of molecules due to larger differences in

	A_0	A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	σ			
		N,N-Dimethy	laniline + Propanoic A	cid					
		1	T/K = 303.15						
$10^3 \Delta \eta / \text{N} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$	1.715	-1.650	1.467	0.987	-1.474	0.009			
$10^6 V_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{E}}/\mathrm{m}^3 \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	0.01	-6.91	16.25	-21.59	12.24	0.02			
		1	T/K = 313.15						
$10^3 \Delta \eta / \text{N} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$	0.928	-0.584	-0.036	0.352	-0.149	0.002			
$10^{6}V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/{\rm m}^{3}\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1}$	0.01	-4.82	6.89	-7.52	5.45	0.02			
		1	T/K = 323.15						
$10^3 \Delta \eta / \text{N} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$	0.689	-0.524	-0.061	0.485	-0.376	0.003			
$10^6 V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/{\rm m}^3 \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1}$	0.01	-3.41	1.53	-0.21	2.08	0.02			
		N,N-Diethyl	aniline + Propanoic Ad	zid					
		1	T/K = 303.15						
$10^3 \Delta \eta / \text{N} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$	8.601	-14.681	5.040	15.866	-15.955	0.074			
$10^{6}V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/{\rm m}^{3}\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1}$	-0.09	-1.75	-31.27	45.85	-12.65	0.19			
T/K = 313.15									
$10^3 \Delta \eta / \text{N} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$	5.460	-9.244	0.247	10.985	-6.042	0.041			
$10^6 V_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{E}}/\mathrm{m}^3 \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	-0.09	-0.056	-35.45	53.48	-17.29	0.19			
		1	T/K = 323.15						
$10^3 \Delta \eta / \text{N} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$	3.830	-6.168	-1.079	7.310	-2.734	0.037			
$10^6 V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}/{\rm m}^3 \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1}$	-0.03	-4.97	-6.10	3.33	7.74	0.12			



Figure 1. Variation of deviation in viscosity against the mole fraction of propanoic acid for \bigcirc , *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline + propanoic acid; \blacksquare , *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline + propanoic acid; mixtures at *T* = 303.15 K.

molar volumes. The variation of excess molar volume in the present investigation is negative over the entire mole fraction range. Both of the components of the liquid mixtures studied are polar in nature. The observed negative $V_m^{\rm E}$ values are due to the interaction between the proton of acid and lone pair of electrons on the nitrogen atom of aniline/resonant electron density on benzene ring of substituted aniline. Due to the large difference in molar volumes/masses of propanoic acid and substituted anilines, the accommodation of smaller molecules of one component into the voids created by the other component of molecules is also responsible for observed negative $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ values. The above factors are responsible for the negative values of $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$.²³ From Figures 2 and 3, it has been observed that as the temperature of the systems increases the negative excess molar volumes decrease indicating the decrease of interaction between the unlike molecules. The negative excess molar volumes follows the order *N*,*N*-diethylaniline > *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline; hence the strength of interactions as follows, N,N-diethylaniline > *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline.

The dynamic viscosities of the binary liquid mixtures have been calculated using various empirical relations like Grunberg and Nissan,²⁴ Ubbelohde et al.,²⁵ Katti and Chaudari,²⁶ and



Article

Figure 2. Variation of excess molar volumes against the mole fraction of propanoic acid for *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline + propanoic acid mixtures at the temperatures, \bullet , *T* = 303.15 K; \blacksquare , *T* = 313.15 K; \blacktriangle , *T* = 323.15 K.



Figure 3. Variation of excess molar volumes against the mole fraction of propanoic acid for *N*,*N*-diethylaniline + propanoic acid mixtures at the temperatures, \bullet , *T* = 303.15 K; \blacksquare , *T* = 313.15 K; \blacktriangle , *T* = 323.15 K.

Heric and Brewer,²⁷ and the corresponding interaction parameters are also evaluated. The detailed description of the above empirical relations is already reported in our previous paper.³ Theoretical values of viscosity of the liquid mixtures calculated using the above equations are given in Table S1 (Supporting Information). Table S2 presents the values of interaction parameters along with the standard deviations, σ . The variation of these interaction parameters with temperature or composition follows the order *N*,*N*-diethylaniline > *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline at constant composition and temperature, respectively. Further the interaction parameter values are found to decrease with an increase of temperature for all of the systems studied. These results are in good agreement with the results derived from the excess properties. Prolongo et al.²⁸ reported positive values of interaction parameters corresponding to systems with negative excess molar volumes. This is in good agreement with our results. The estimated values of σ are smaller indicating that experimental values of viscosities are well-correlated by all of the viscosity models.

CONCLUSIONS

Densities and viscosities of binary mixtures of propanoic acid with N,N-dimethylaniline and N,N-diethylaniline have been measured over the entire composition range at T = (303.15,313.15, and 323.15) K. The deviations in viscosity and excess molar volumes have been computed from the experimental results. These deviation/excess properties have been fitted to a Redlich-Kister type polynomial. These results indicate the presence of strong specific interactions such as interactions between protons of propanoic acid and a lone pair of electrons on the nitrogen atom of aniline which due to the observed difference in molar volumes of propanoic acid and substituted aniline are responsible for the observed negative $V_{\rm m}^{\rm E}$ and positive $\Delta \eta$ values in the present investigated systems. The strength of interactions follows the order N,N-diethylaniline + propanoic acid > N_N -dimethylaniline + propanoic acid. The strength of interactions is also studied with the variation of temperature. The experimental viscosity values are compared with the viscosity values obtained from different empirical relations, and these are in good agreement with the experimental values.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Theoretical values of viscosity of the liquid mixtures (Table S1) and values of interaction parameters along with the standard deviations, σ (Table S2). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: krdhanekula@yahoo.co.in. Phone: +91-863-6458142; +91-9440712142.

Funding

The authors are thankful to University Grants Commission (U.G.C), New Delhi, Government of India for providing financial support through an infrastructure grant under the DRS-SAP program (Letter No. F4-1/2006/(BSR)/7-2/2007(BSR), dated 12-23-2008).

REFERENCES

(1) Fort, R. J.; Moore, W. R. Adiabatic compressibility of binary liquid mixtures. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1965**, *61*, 2102–2111.

(2) Marks, Y. Introduction to Liquid State Chemistry; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1977.

(3) Kumar, D. S.; Sreekanth, K.; Rao, D. K. Molecular interactions in the mixtures of 2-chloroaniline with equimolar mixture of methanol and isopropanol/isobutanol. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2007**, *136*, 90–93.

(4) Ali, A.; Soghra, H. Molecular interaction study in binary mixtures of dimethyl sulphoxide with 1,2-dichloroethane and 1,1,2,2tetrachloroethane at 303 K. *Indian J. Phys.* **2002**, *76*, 23–28.

(5) Rao, T. S.; Veeraiah, N.; Rambabu, C. Excess volume, viscosity and compressibility of binary mixtures consisting of *o*-chlorophenol, *o*-cresol and *m*-cresol with *n*-*n*-diethyl acetamide at different temperatures. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **2002**, *40*, 850–856.

(6) Giner, B.; Villares, A.; Gascon, I.; Cea, P.; Lafuente, C. Speeds of sound and isentropic compressibilities of binary mixtures containing cyclic ethers and haloalkanes at 298.15 and 313.15 K. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **2004**, *25*, 1735–1746.

(7) Rattan, V. K.; Seema, K.; Tochigi, K. Viscosities and densities of binary mixtures of toulene with acetic acid and propanoic acid at (293.15, 303.15, 313.5, and 323.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2002, 47, 1182–1184.

(8) Solimo, H. N.; Riggio, R.; Davolio, F.; Katz, M. Thermodynamic properties of binary liquid acid-base mixtures. *Can. J. Chem.* **1975**, *53*, 1258–1262.

(9) Garcia, B.; Alcalde, R.; Leal, J. M. Excess properties for binary liquid mixtures of propionic acid with aniline derivatives. *Can. J. Chem.* **1991**, *69*, 369–372.

(10) Katz, M.; Lobo, P. W.; Miñano, A. S.; Solimo, H. Viscosities, densities, and refractive indices of binary liquid mixtures. *Can. J. Chem.* **1971**, *49*, 2605–2609.

(11) Palepu, R.; Oliver, J.; MacKinnon, B. Viscosities and densities of binary liquid mixtures of *m*-cresol with substituted anilines. Part 3. *Can. J. Chem.* **1985**, *63*, 1024–1030.

(12) Palepu, R.; Oliver, J.; Campbell, D. Thermodynamic and transport properties of o-chlorophenol with aniline and n-alkylanilines. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1985**, *30*, 355–360.

(13) Wiseman, P.; Heggie, M.; Palepu, R. Viscosities and thermodynamics of viscous flow of binary liquid mixtures of 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol with aniline and N-alkyl anilines. *Can. J. Chem.* **1992**, 70, 2645–2649.

(14) Oskoei, A. G.; Safaei, N.; Ghasemi, J. Densities and viscosities for binary and ternary mixtures of 1,4-dioxane + 1-hexanol + N,N-dimethylaniline from T = (283.15 to 343.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2008**, 53, 343–349.

(15) Vogel, A. I. Textbook of Organic Chemistry, 5th ed.; John Wiley: New York, 1989.

(16) Riddick, J. A.; Burger, W. B.; Sankano, T. K. Techniques in Chemistry, Vol. II, 4th ed.; John Wiley: New York, 1986.

(17) Parker, H. C.; Parker, E. W. Densities of certain aqueous potassium chloride solutions as determined with a new pyknometer. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1925**, *29*, 130–137.

(18) Naidu, P. S.; Prasad, K. R. Ultrasonic velocity and allied parameters in solutions of cypermethrin with xylene and ethanol. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **2004**, *42*, 512–515.

(19) Redlich, O.; Kister, A. T. Algebric representation of thermodynamic properties and the classification solutions. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1948**, 40, 345–348.

(20) Islam, M. R.; Quadri, S. K. Ultrasonic velocity and viscosity of binary liquid mixtures. *Thermochim. Acta* 1987, 115, 335-344.

(21) Tewari, K.; Patra, C.; Chakravortty, V. Molecular interactions study on binary liquid mixtures of dimethyl sulphoxide with benzene, carbontetrachloride and toluene from the excess properties of ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity. *Acoustics Lett.* **1995**, *19*, 53–59.

(22) Reddy, K. C.; Subramanyam, S. V.; Bhimasenachar, J. Thermodynamics of binary liquid mixtures containing cyclohexane part 1. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1964**, *19*, 559–566.

(23) Fort, R. J.; Moore, W. R. Viscosity of binary liquid mixtures. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1966**, *62*, 1112–1119.

(24) Grunberg, L.; Nissan, A. H. Mixture law for viscosity. *Nature* **1949**, *164*, 799–800.

(25) Hind, R. K.; McLaughlin, E.; Ubbelohde, A. R. Structure and viscosity of liquids camphor + pyrene mixtures. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1960**, *56*, 328–330.

(26) Katti, P. K.; Chaudhari, M. M. Viscosities of binary mixtures of benzyl acetate with dioxane, aniline and m-cresol. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1964**, *9*, 442–443.

(27) Heric, E. L.; Brewer, J. C. Viscosity of some binary liquid non electrolytic mixtures. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1967, 12, 574-583.

(28) Prolongo, M. G.; Masegosa, R. M.; Hernandez-Fuentes, I.; Horta, A. Viscosities and excess volumes of binary mixtures formed by the liquids acetonitrile, pentyl acetate, 1-chlorobutane, and carbon tetrachloride at 25 °C. J. Phys. Chem. **1984**, 89, 2163–2167.